White Race Extinction

by Dr. James Owens

About year 2050, non-whites in America will outnumber whites. That’s simple demographic fact. By 2050 and beyond, non-whites will dominate, make the laws, abolish traditional white culture, take full possession of the American nation. Whites, as a major race, will become extinct.

When next-century historians sort out the causes of America’s metamorphosis into a non-white population, high on the list will be the systematic reversal of the original Constitution’s state’s sovereignty into an all-powerful federal monolith, a process begun with Lincoln’s war smashing the rights of the states as well as the South. Prominent also: liberal-left welfare Government since FDR’s 1930s, black-activist propaganda fueling white guilt and “reparations,” massive third-world immigration (26 million since 1965), the huge birth rates of non-whites (especially unwed mothers on welfare) and the government’s network of anti-white “civil-rights” enforcing nationwide racial integration and diversity. Soaring rates of racial interbreeding and intermarriage, normalized by routine portrayals of mixed couples throughout media and TV, spurred the rapid “tanning” of America.

Strangely, all during these decades whites remained apathetic, with no public assertion of their own racial pride, sheepishly resigned to being replaced by a non-white population. They seemed as unresisting to their predictable extinction as the victims of Nazi death-camps in the 1940s. The few white voices protesting against the non-white takeover of America were silenced by government and media charges of “racism” – while the genuine racism of non-whites systematically won a new America, whites and their culture finally quashed.

However, a more basic and insidious factor underlies white erosion: today’s never-questioned democratic principle, universal suffrage. In our century this has emerged as the new pillar of the “democratic West.” An ever-rotting pillar when scrutinized, universal suffrage is the “self-destruct” extreme of the fragile and hard-to-control democratic concept (government by the people – but which of the people and how many, few – or all?). Universal suffrage is the naive and often attempted form of democracy, with populace shouts of “freedom,” which always ends in chaotic anarchy (mob rule) followed by a strong dictatorship to restore order. The many “freedom” revolutions in
South American and African countries, followed soon by strongmen dictators, are recent examples.

Even 2,400 years ago, well-informed philosophers such as Plato (The Republic) and Aristotle (Politics) warned against the hazards of democratic government. Aristotle found its history so disastrous that he deemed democracy the “worst form of government.” Universal suffrage has never survived for long in human history. Long-lasting societies survived with stability and order imposed by a small aristocracy of elite minds, guiding by practical experience, rational discipline and cold cunning. As Machiavelli (The Prince, 1513) advised, such “elites” (of both states and Church) must and do often resort to “evil” acts as necessary means to a society’s ultimate good, civic order and stability – and, of course, their own.

Toynbee (Study of History) summed up this central dilemma of the human race: “Freedom is dispensable [unnecessary luxury] in a society but order and stability are indispensable.” Winston Churchill quipped: “Democracy is the worst form of government – except for all the rest.” He was highlighting modern democracy’s fragile nature and use of it most cautiously; otherwise the usual collapse into hysteric mob-rule anarchy, necessary order always restored by dictatorship and Machiavelli’s proven methods.

The genius within our original Constitution was a delicate balancing of individual freedoms with effective, but strictly limited, government to insure social order – along with genuine, but limited, Bill-of- Rights individual freedoms. Even federal Government power was check-balanced among its executive, legislative and judicial branches, and the states’ sovereignty at local levels preserved against the usual power-grabs of elites seeking centralized dictatorship. They called their union a “Republic,” shunning even the word “democracy.” That word appears nowhere in the Constitution (the reasons succinctly explained in Madison’s Federalist Paper #10). The framers of the Constitution feared democratic mob-rule even more than kings’ tyranny.

Early America thus avoided universal suffrage as akin to age-old anarchy. The “democratic” voting power of early America was restricted to relatively few citizens of demonstrated worth, responsibility and knowledge of local interests to act as trusted voting representatives in state and federal government.
Over a century ago, the English political philosopher, also a proponent of women’s suffrage (John Stuart Mill, Representative Government, Chapter 8, 1861), recognized that an elected official represents only the average level of the electorate, their intelligence, values and choices. If this average level is a childish 6th-grade education of the mind or laziness of character, then so must officials vote any “dumbed-down” average to get themselves elected. Therefore, Mill’s restrictions upon voting rights included such principles as (italics added):

(1) “[No] person should have suffrage without being able to read, write [or do] arithmetic.” “No one will maintain that power over others, over the whole community, should be imparted to people who have not acquired the most essential requisites for taking care of themselves.”

(2) “The assembly which votes the taxes... should be elected exclusively by those who pay something towards the taxes imposed. Those who pay no taxes, disposing by their votes of other people’s money, have every motive to be lavish and none to economize.”

(3) “The receipt of parish relief [public welfare] should be peremptory disqualification for the franchise. He who cannot by his labour suffice for his own support has no claim to the privilege of helping himself to the money of others.... As a condition of the franchise, a term should be fixed, say five years, during which the applicant’s name has not been on the books as a recipient of relief.” And so on with other common-sense exclusions from suffrage.

Mill’s principles of suffrage articulated the already common practice in the new America where each sovereign state confined suffrage to educated and self-supporting taxpayers. To franchise anyone lacking these adult qualifications was equivalent to abandoning critical community decisions to the whims of children. No state was so foolish – and no state did.

A mere century later in America and much of Europe, Mill’s (and America’s founders’) principles of limited and sustainable suffrage have degenerated into today’s dumbed-down universal suffrage. Huge voting masses of the illiterate, welfare recipients, third-world immigrants, black and Hispanic activists elect liberals who satisfy their demands for free food stamps, housing, medical care and endless claims for similar “entitlements.” Unlike proud Americans of the past, they worry not at all that the cynical votes-for-handouts bribery system creates the all-powerful Federal “Big Brother” government now robbing all citizens of once cherished individual freedoms. Nor do they worry about the
unconscionable $5 trillion national debt they created, all blithely shifted to later
generations.

Can senseless *universal suffrage* be stopped, or will it, as always in history, collapse into
some form of centralized dictatorship? As for the former and like Pandora’s opened
box, *universal suffrage* is irreversible in our *current* democratic system (incompetents and
the many millions of non-whites with voting power would never vote to revoke it!). As for
the latter, Toynbee was right: America, with each individual and entire states almost
entirely subservient to the Federal government, is already well along the road to a fully
centralized and totalitarian political system, *ultimately non-white*.

Now, in the late 1990s, despite the current diversity mania and resolved that it is not yet
too late to salvage white culture in America, some few writers and conservative
organizations pursue white-preservationist alternatives to the current scenario of ultimate
white extinction.
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